

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 30980088 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/GB 00/ 02621	International filing date (day/month/year) 07/07/2000	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 09/07/1999
Applicant HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

☒ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the report

- a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

- b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

☐ contained in the international application in written form.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

☐ the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

☐ the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished

2. ☐ Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).

3. ☐ Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).

4. With regard to the title,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the abstract,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1

☒ as suggested by the applicant.

☐ because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

☐ because this figure better characterizes the invention.

☐ None of the figures.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 00/02621

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 G01B11/24 H04N1/047

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
 IPC 7 H04N G01B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EP0-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 764 383 A (BERLIN ANDREW A ET AL) 9 June 1998 (1998-06-09) abstract; figures 1-8 column 5, line 5 - line 61 ---	1,10
Y	US 5 193 120 A (GAMACHE RONALD W ET AL) 9 March 1993 (1993-03-09) abstract; figures 1-3 column 2, line 47 - line 64 ---	1,10
A	US 5 084 611 A (OKISU NORIYUKI ET AL) 28 January 1992 (1992-01-28) abstract; figures 6,10 column 2, line 34 -column 4, line 19 --- -/--	1,10

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 August 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

21/08/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA
 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Kassow, H

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB 00/02621

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 585 926 A (FUJII SHINICHI ET AL) 17 December 1996 (1996-12-17) abstract; figures 4-9 column 5, line 18 -column 6, line 13 column 6, line 24 -column 7, line 15 ---	1,10
A	DE 195 28 244 A (KIRMEIER JOSEF) 6 February 1997 (1997-02-06) abstract; claims 2,3; figures 1-3,6 column 2, line 24 - line 38 ---	6,7
A	WO 91 15732 A (INTELLIGENT AUTOMATION SYSTEMS) 17 October 1991 (1991-10-17) abstract; figure 2 page 2, line 2 -page 5, line 7 page 9 ---	1
A	US 4 948 258 A (CAIMI FRANK M) 14 August 1990 (1990-08-14) abstract; figure 1 ---	6,7
A	US 4 641 972 A (HALIOUA MAURICE ET AL) 10 February 1987 (1987-02-10) abstract column 1, line 57 - line 62 ---	1
A	US 5 760 925 A (BERLIN ANDREW A ET AL) 2 June 1998 (1998-06-02) cited in the application -----	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 00/02621

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5764383	A	09-06-1998	NONE		
US 5193120	A	09-03-1993	NONE		
US 5084611	A	28-01-1992	JP	3117965 A	20-05-1991
US 5585926	A	17-12-1996	JP	5161000 A	25-06-1993
			JP	5161001 A	25-06-1993
			JP	5161002 A	25-06-1993
			JP	5161003 A	25-06-1993
			JP	5161004 A	25-06-1993
DE 19528244	A	06-02-1997	WO	9705735 A	13-02-1997
			EP	0783814 A	16-07-1997
WO 9115732	A	17-10-1991	US	5589942 A	31-12-1996
			CA	2079817 A	06-10-1991
			DE	69127968 D	20-11-1997
			DE	69127968 T	12-02-1998
			EP	0523152 A	20-01-1993
			JP	2927955 B	28-07-1999
			JP	5509394 T	22-12-1993
US 4948258	A	14-08-1990	NONE		
US 4641972	A	10-02-1987	CA	1261656 A	26-09-1989
			DE	3580740 D	10-01-1991
			EP	0182469 A	28-05-1986
			JP	1675753 C	26-06-1992
			JP	3038524 B	11-06-1991
			JP	61076906 A	19-04-1986
			US	4657394 A	14-04-1987
US 5760925	A	02-06-1998	NONE		

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau




(43) International Publication Date
18 January 2001 (18.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/04571 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: G01B 11/24, H04N 1/047
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/GB00/02621
- (22) International Filing Date: 7 July 2000 (07.07.2000)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
99305484.0 9 July 1999 (09.07.1999) EP
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [US/US]; 3000
Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (US).
- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **PILU, Maurizio**
[IT/GB]; 103 Northville Road, Bristol BS7 0RJ (GB).
- (74) Agent: **LAWRENCE, Richard, Anthony**; Hewlett-Packard Limited, Intellectual Property Section, Filton Road, Stoke Gifford, Bristol BS34 8QZ (GB).
- (81) Designated States (national): JP, US.
- (84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
- Published:**
— With international search report.
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: DOCUMENT IMAGING SYSTEM



(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to the use of a camera and a structured light pattern in a platenless document imaging system (1) to capture the image of a page or of a bound book together with depth information that can be inferred from the light pattern. The imaging system (1) comprises a support surface (12) for a document (30) to be imaged, a light stripe projector (4) arranged to project a plurality of diverging sheets of light that extend from the projector (4) towards the support surface (12) for forming a series of stripes across the document (30), a camera (2) having a detector array (22) for capturing an image of the document (30) and of light stripes projected onto the document (30), a processor (25) arranged to receive (23) from the detector array (22) data representative of images of the document (30) and of the light stripes and to calculate therefrom a three-dimensional profile of the document (30) relative to a reference surface, characterised in that the relative divergence of adjacent sheets of light varies laterally across the sheets so that the stripes are concentrated where the divergence is relatively low.

WO 01/04571 A1

Document Imaging System

The present invention relates to the use of a camera and a structured light pattern in a platenless document imaging system to capture the image of a page or of a bound book together with depth information that can be inferred from the light pattern.

Images captured of a curled surface will in general exhibit distortions caused by image perspective, skew and compression or elongation caused by an uneven or a curled surface. Several means, including standard triangulation techniques can be used to calculate the surface profile from captured images of the surface. For example, a camera can be used with a structured light pattern in a platenless document imaging system to capture the image of a page or of a bound book together with depth information that can be inferred from the light pattern.

Desktop flat bed scanners are very common in office imaging applications. Although these are relatively inexpensive and work well, a disadvantage is that these invariably take up a significant amount of desk space, which is always at a premium.

Digital camera products are becoming common in many areas of still and motion photography, and as a result are becoming ever less expensive. However such cameras are still used almost exclusively for photography of people or places, and have yet to be adapted for use in office imaging applications. One reason for this is that a document such as a piece of paper or an open book lying face up on a supporting surface is generally not flat, because the document is not held against a transparent platen as in a flatbed scanner.

It may also be inconvenient for the camera to be mounted above the support surface and look directly downwards to image the document. In order to avoid a structure overhanging a work surface of a desk, it is better if the camera is mounted at an edge of the work surface. This however, results in perspective distortion.

Documents may also not lie at a consistent angle to the camera. In the case of the book, the spine will then be skewed at a variable angle to the optical axis of the camera lens.

Therefore, camera-based capture of a document poses the problem of distortion of the captured image due to image perspective, skew and compression or elongation introduced by the uneven surface and page curl of the sheet or bound book.

Page curl is one of the biggest problems encountered when capturing a document with a camera. The curled part of the document renders poorly on screen and printers, and presents shadows. It is also hard to do stitching and optical character recognition with such a "warped" image.

Recovering or "de-warping" page curl is a difficult problem to solve in general. Methods that are known include inferring shapes from shading, from texture, from overall contours of lines of text. These methods have so far proved to be fragile and often require a significant amount of computer processing power.

One approach to solve this problem is to use structured light to obtain depth information, such as the distance of the page from a plane at right angles to the optical axis of the camera. Such an approach is disclosed in patent document US 5,760,925, in which a document is supported on an underlying support surface with a camera mounted on

above and to one side of the support surface, and a light stripe projector mounted on an opposite side of the support surface. The light stripe projector projects a pair of light stripes onto the document. The light stripes
5 are parallel for portions of the document the same height above a reference surface, which is taken to be the support surface. The document is oriented so that most of the curl is in the same direction as the light stripes, but because the document may not be flat in a transverse
10 direction, the shape of the document is interpolated linearly between the light stripes.

This system can in principle capture an image of the document and correct this for page curl only when there is
15 no curl transverse to the light stripes. Although more parallel light stripes can in principle and at increased cost be added to gain additional curl information in the transverse direction, in practice this places a heavy burden on the available processing power and time
20 available to capture and correct for document curl in a product that is commercially attractive in terms of cost and speed.

It is an object of the present invention to address these
25 issues.

Accordingly, the invention provides an imaging system for imaging a document, comprising a support surface for a document to be imaged, a light stripe projector arranged
30 to project a plurality of diverging sheets of light that extend from the projector towards the support surface for forming a series of stripes across the document, a camera having a detector array for capturing an image of the document and of light stripes projected onto the document,
35 a processor arranged to receive from the detector array data representative of images of the document and of the light stripes and to calculate therefrom a three-

dimensional profile of the document relative to a reference surface, characterised in that the relative divergence of adjacent sheets varies laterally across the sheets so that the stripes are concentrated where the
5 divergence is relatively low.

The document imaging system can then be arranged so the light stripes are more concentrated where document curl, or the rate of change of document curl, is greatest. It is
10 therefore not necessary for all of the sheets of light to be planar. For example, at least one of the sheets of light may extend in a non-planar sheet with a variable divergence from an adjacent sheet.

15 In a preferred embodiment of the invention, there is a planar sheet with diverging sheets either side of the planar sheet. The planar sheet may be along a centre plane of the sheets projected from the light stripe projector. Then, the diverging sheets may bow towards the planar
20 sheet so that the light stripes are concentrated in a middle portion of a structured light pattern on the document.

Also according to the invention, there is provided a
25 method of imaging a document using a document imaging system comprising a support surface, a light stripe projector, a camera having a detector array, a processor, in which the method comprises the steps of:

30 i) placing the document on the support surface;

ii) using the light stripe projector to project a plurality of diverging sheets of light that extend from the projector towards the document;

- 5 -

iii) arranging the light stripe projector so that the sheets of light fall on the document to produce a series of light stripes on the document;

5 iv) using the camera to capture with the detector array an image of the document and of light stripes projected onto the document;

v) sending from the detector array to the processor data
10 representative of the captured image of the document and of the light stripes; and

vi) using the processor to calculate therefrom a three-dimensional profile of the document relative to a
15 reference surface;

characterised in that the light stripe projector projects adjacent sheets with a relative divergence that varies laterally across the sheets of light so that the stripes
20 are concentrated on the document where the divergence is relatively low.

The light stripes may be formed from visible or invisible optical radiation, particularly near-infrared radiation,
25 and may be generated any suitable source, for example a light emitting diode or a laser diode.

The invention will now be described in further detail by way of example only, and with reference to the
30 accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic view in perspective of a document imaging system according to the invention, with a camera having a detector array mounted together with a light stripe projector on a post overlooking a document to be imaged;
35

Figure 2 is a view of an open book as imaged by the camera;

5 Figure 3 is a view of the open book with a light stripe pattern projected onto the book;

10 Figure 4 is a schematic view in perspective showing a structured light pattern produced by the light stripe projector showing diverging sheets of light that bow concavely inwards toward a central planar light sheet;

15 Figure 5 is a plot of light stripes formed by an intersection of the structured light pattern of Figure 3 with a plane transverse to the sheets;

20 Figure 6 is a plot of a polynomial fitted through five points taken from one of the light stripes of Figure 5;

Figure 7 is a plot of a parametric light sheet cone constructed from polynomials such as those of Figure 6;

25 Figure 8 is a flow chart depicting a calibration process for the roto-translation between the camera and the light stripe projector;

30 Figures 9A and 9B show the error in detection of the profile of a planar surface using the document imaging system when an initial estimate of the roto-translation is used;

35 Figures 10A and 10B show the error in detection of the profile of a planar surface after calibration of the roto-translation according to the flow chart of Figure 8;

Figure 11A and 11B show respectively the errors in the detection of a planar surface without and with radial distortion correction;

5

Figure 12 shows the results of a stripe detection and labelling process;

10

Figure 13 shows a light sheet and terminology used in a triangulation process to compute the intersection of the light sheet and the light stripe;

15

Figure 14 shows a set of measured data points of an open book generated with the document scanning system;

20

Figure 15 shows the profile of a surface fitted with a bicubic spline to the measured data points according to a prior art method of dewarp curl;

25

Figure 16 show the prior art results of unrolling the bicubic spline onto a plane;

Figure 17 is a schematic diagram of a prior art orthoimage method to dewarp curl when applied to the fitted surface;

30

Figure 18 is a schematic diagram of a triangular mesh used to approximate a developable surface;

35

Figure 19 is a schematic diagram of a way of estimating from the measured data points the approximate extent when dewarped of a curled document;

Figures 20A, 20B and 20C show in a two-dimensional analogy how the mesh is initially fit to the measured

points in a process which stretches the mesh so that it is no longer developable;

5 Figure 21 is a schematic diagram showing by analogy with a spring mesh how the mesh is relaxed back to a developable state in which it is optimally fit to the measured points; and

10 Figure 22 shows a process of texture-mapping the relaxed mesh to de-warp the curled image of the document.

Figure 1 shows a document imaging system 1 that has an electronic camera 2 a lower portion of which houses a
15 light stripe projector 4 manufactured by Lasiris, Inc. of St. Laurent, Québec, Canada, as model number 515L. The camera 2 is mounted atop a support 6 that is clamped 8 to and rises above an edge 10 of a work surface 12. The camera 2 has a main lens 14 with an optical axis 16 that
20 is directed across and down upon the work surface 12. The lens 14 has a field of view 18 that images an area 20 of the work surface 12 onto a two-dimensional CCD detector array 22 within the camera 2.

25 The detector array is connected 23 to a processor unit 25, which may, for example, be a personal computer with an expansion card for controlling the camera 2, light stripe projector 4, and for receiving and processing data received from the detector array 22.

30 Ideally, the area 20 is at least of A4 document size. Similarly, the light stripe projector 4 has a projecting lens 24 that projects a structured light pattern 26 onto a work surface area 28 that is roughly coincident with the
35 imaged area 20. The structured light pattern will be described in more detail below, but extends around a central axis 29 that is roughly coincident on the work

surface 12 with the camera lens axis 16. The spread of the structured light pattern is sufficient to cover an A4-size area at about 300 mm distance.

5 A document 30 has been placed within the area 20,28 defined by the camera lens 14 and structured light pattern 26. The document is supported by the work surface 12 in a generally horizontal orientation, but is slightly curled. An image captured by the detector array 22 will therefore
10 have perspective foreshortening owing to the oblique angle between the camera optical axis 16 and the document 30, and well as warp distortion due to the document curl.

Such warp distortion can be seen in Figure 2, which
15 illustrates an image 31 of an open book 32 as formed on the detector array 22 by the camera 2. The amount of warp distortion is greatest near the spine 34.

Figure 3 shows an image 33 of the open book 32 when the
20 structured light pattern 26 is projected towards the book 32 to produce fifteen separated light stripes 35 over the book 32. The book is oriented so that the light stripes 35 are transverse to the spine 34.

25 A difference can then be taken between the image 33 with the light stripe pattern 35 and the same image 31 without the light stripe pattern 35, in order to detect the light stripes.

30 As can be appreciated from Figures 1 and 3, the advantage of having the light stripe projector mounted together with and below the camera is that the furthest stripe 36 will always be in view of the camera, even if the stripe is projected beyond the further edge of a book.

35

Figure 4 shows how the structured light pattern 26 is produced. A fixed 7 mW laser 38 projects a beam of light

40 to a first optical diffractive element 42 that diffracts the beam 40 into a vertically oriented strip 44. The vertical stripe 44 is then diffracted by a second optical diffractive element 46 into the structured light
5 pattern 26 consisting of fifteen diverging, separate and non-intersecting sheets of light 48.

The structured light pattern 26 is projected onto the document 30 with the projection axis 29 offset at an angle
10 50 to permit triangulation of the light stripes 35 to characterise document curl.

These diffractive elements 42,44 produce a set of seven conical light sheets 51,53 either side of a central planar
15 light sheet 52. The central planar light sheet 52 contains a median ray 49, which also lies on the light stripe projector axis 29.

Each set of light sheets 51,53 bows concavely inwards
20 towards the central planar light sheet 52, with the result that the divergence between adjacent light sheets is a minimum at the middle of the light sheets 48. The sheets are symmetric about a plane that is transverse to the planar sheet and which comprises a median ray of the
25 planar sheet 52.

As shown in Figure 5, the conic light sheets 51,53 will in general generate curved, non-parallel light stripes on the document, with a concentration of light stripes along a
30 line centrally transverse to the light stripes 35. The concentration of stripes corresponds with the minimum divergence between adjacent light sheets. In Figure 3, this concentration is about the book spine 34. In this example, the concentration of light stripes about the
35 spine 34 will provide enhanced curl information in the region of greatest document curl.

Triangulation of conic light sheets is, however, a non-trivial problem. For this reason a closed-form solution to this triangulation problem is described below that can be applied in general with this kind of structured light to characterise document curl. The closed form of the triangulation also allows the use of a standard optimisation method to perform an initial calibration of the camera 2 and light stripe projector 4 system.

Although the use of a multiple line structured light pattern has advantages in terms of cost, the time needed to capture an image, and mechanical complexity over traditional laser scanning methods in the sense that there are no moving parts such as galvanometers or stepper motors, there is a drawback in that the three-dimensional resolution is less, being limited to the number of lines in one direction. This drawback is partly mitigated by the concentration of lines in the region of greatest curl and, as will be explained below, by the use of novel methods to characterise and de-warp image curl.

Triangulation works as follows. First, light stripes 48 are projected onto an object which is viewed by and projected onto the camera image plane at the detector array 22. Let us suppose for the moment that the laser projects just a single light sheet of a known shape and defined by a corresponding known equation in the camera reference system, which when cast onto an object and imaged by the camera produces a single curve (or stripe) on the image plane. A given point of the stripe defines a line in space going from the camera optical axis through the image. The intersection between the light sheet and this line defines a three-dimensional point in the camera reference system that is on the surface of the object. By repeating the procedure for each stripe point, we can effectively recover all the object's points that lie on

- 12 -

the curve defined by the intersection of the projected light sheet and the object surface.

In this invention we do not have a single light sheet but rather a set of them slightly displaced in order to cover a larger portion of the object, and thus obtain a three-dimensional snapshot of it. In the single light sheet case we knew that any image stripe point corresponded to the projection of a 3D point of a known stripe and this is why it is possible to do triangulation unambiguously. Conversely, in the multiple light sheet case we do not actually know which particular light sheet generated that projection and so some sort of stripe labelling or identification is necessary to determine which image stripe was generated by a particular light sheet.

The camera 2 and light stripe generator 4 system is initially calibrated by measuring a reference surface, which for convenience may be a support surface 12. The vertical displacement between the undistorted projection on the reference surface and the distorted projection on the curled document is a unique function of depth or height of the curled document relative to the reference surface.

The diffractive light stripe projector 4 produces a structured light pattern that with stripes 48 each of which that has a periodic intensity variation along its length. To a first approximation, the peaks in light intensity of the structured light pattern therefore occur at points, which on a spherical surface centered on the light stripe projector can be represented by the following equations:

$$x_{i,j} = i\lambda \frac{D}{\Lambda_1 N_{i,j}} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i,j} = j\lambda \frac{D}{\Lambda_2 N_{i,j}} \quad \text{where}$$

$$N_{i,j} = \left[1 - \left(i \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_1} \right)^2 - \left(j \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_2} \right)^2 \right] \quad (1)$$

and where $(x,y) = (0,0)$ is on the projection axis 29, D is the distance from the light stripe projector 4, λ is the laser wavelength, Λ_1 is the period of the grating for diffractive element 42 and Λ_2 is the period of the grating for diffractive element 46.

Figure 5 shows fifteen light stripes formed by the intersection of a plane spaced 0.5 m from the light stripe projector and at right angles to the light sheet projection axis 29. The central planar light sheet 52 produces a straight light stripe 54, and light stripes 55,57 on either side of the central light stripe 54 bend inwards towards the central light stripe 54. The light stripes are therefore concentrated along a central line 56 transverse to the central stripe 54.

In order to perform triangulation in closed-form on the projected light stripe pattern, it is necessary to express this pattern and hence each light sheet 48 in a mathematical form. Therefore, five points 58 which correspond to subsidiary maxima along each light stripe 50 are used, as shown in Figure 6, to generate a second-order polynomial Γ of the projected stripe on the orthogonal plane at a given distance. Although the stripe is, strictly speaking, not quadratic, we have noticed that the deviation from the data is less than 0.01% when the polynomial is of second order. The equation of the polynomial Γ can be expressed in parametric form as:

$$\Gamma_N : \begin{cases} x_{\Gamma,N} = u \\ y_{\Gamma,N} = b_N + a_N u^2 \\ z_{\Gamma,N} = c_N \end{cases}$$

where the index N stands for the stripe number and u is a free parameter illustrated graphically in Figure 7. From this we can construct a cone 70 centered on the projection axis 29, by letting v be a parameter sweeping the cone length. The cone 70 is expressed as:

$$\Sigma_N : \begin{cases} x_{ZN} = vx_{\Gamma,N} = vu \\ y_{ZN} = vy_{\Gamma,N} = v(b_N + a_N u^2) \\ x_{ZN} = vz_{\Gamma,N} = vc_N \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

As explained below, of particular interest is the algebraic form of each cone of light, which is obtained by elimination:

$$\Sigma_N : yz - z^2 \frac{b_N}{c_N} - a_N c_N x^2 = 0$$

In order to perform triangulation in closed-form, it is necessary also to know the relative orientation of the camera lens axis 16 and the light stripe projector axis 29, referred to herein as the roto-translation R_{ol} between the camera 2 and the light stripe projector 4.

The intrinsic camera model employed in this invention is described by a conventional set of five parameters, which are the focal length f , the number of pixels per meter in the horizontal and vertical direction α_x and α_y , the "piercing point" (x_0, y_0) (assumed to be at the image centre) plus the radial distortion parameter K .

The calibration of the camera parameters f , α_x and α_y , the "piercing point" (x_0, y_0) can be estimated with a method described by Tsai, R. Y., IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, No. 4 pp. 323-344, 1987.

The estimation of the roto-translation R_{ol} is accomplished by a custom method based on an optimization process starting with the capture of sparse three-dimensional data of a planar object. An initial rough estimate of R_{ol} is then determined. Following this, an iterative process shown in Figure 8 is used to adjust six parameters representative of R_{ol} (three Euler rotations angles and three translations) until triangulated data points become effectively planar. Minimization of errors is carried out by an implementation of the Levenberg-Marquard method.

Figures 9A and 9B show two graphs that illustrate the errors in measuring a planar surface using the initial rough estimate of R_{ol} . Figures 10A and 10B show two similar graphs using the final estimated R_{ol} after the optimisation process of Figure 8. These graphs show that the reduction in the standard deviation of the error in the measurement of the plane is reduced from 20 mm to less than 1 mm. The residual error is due to measurement noise.

Correction of radial distortion is generally neglected in the field of document imaging. However, it has been discovered that this correction is important in order to obtain sufficiently accurate results. The mapping from distorted to undistorted co-ordinates is:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x} = x + xK_1d^2 \\ \bar{y} = y + yK_1d^2 \end{cases} \quad d = \sqrt{(x - c_x)^2 + (y - c_y)^2}$$

For simplicity of presentation, these new coordinates will in the following description be treated as the actual image coordinates, although one has to bear in mind that these are corrected coordinates derived from the above mapping.

The camera 2 used in the present example has a radial distortion parameter $K = 0.004 \text{ pixels/mm}^2$. Figure 11A shows how, even when the R_{OL} has been calibrated, if the radial distortion is not accounted for the error becomes very large. Once this distortion is allowed for, the distortion is as shown in Figure 11B.

Because there is more than one light stripe, it is necessary to identify each light detected stripe before triangulation is performed. There are two distinct parts in this process, the first one being stripe detection and the second one stripe labelling.

The three-dimensional document image capture can be done by briefly flashing the laser pattern and synchronously detecting with the detector array 22 the document image including the light stripe pattern, as shown in Figure 3. Either before or after this, the document is imaged without the light stripe pattern, as shown in Figure 2. There will then be two overlapping images, one with the pattern and one without and thus it is straightforward to use image differencing to make the stripes stand out.

However, the intensity value across stripes will in general be uneven, for example owing to subsidiary peaks as in equation (1) above, or because of uneven ambient illumination or paper reflectance. Therefore, the image of the lights is processed. Given the prevalently horizontal lines, the first step is to use a one-dimensional Laplacian operator (second derivative) applied only on the y (vertical) direction. The application of this operator gives the centre of the stripe a high negative value. This value can then be thresholded to obtain a binary image. The process is robust and fast but the use of a single threshold may inevitably cause some gaps in the continuity of the detected stripes, as shown in Figure 12.

The method used to identify light stripes is as follows. First, the stripes are thinned down to one pixel thickness and connected pixels are joined together into a string. Next, strings that are too short are removed from the
5 classification as deemed noise. The result is a data set of pixels as shown in Figure 12, where string segments 80 are interspersed with gaps 82.

Then for each string, a heuristic "strength" measure is
10 computed as:

$$S = 0.5 * \text{Length} + 0.5 * \text{Abs}(\text{Avg}(\text{Top } 30\% \text{ of Laplacian value}))$$

This is an equally weighted sum of the length and the
15 average of the top third of the absolute value of the Laplacian values. We do not average all the values of the Laplacian along a string because the stripe intensity is not uniformly distributed and some faint sections might adversely affect the average.

20

Next, for each column of pixels and starting from the top row of pixels of the image, we assign successive, increasing label numbers to, and only to, the strongest stripe points in the sense above. The numbering of stripes
25 stops at the maximum number expected, here fifteen. Finally, for each string we assign a label equal to the most popular label assigned to all the points of that string. Figure 12 shows the labelling result in which all stripes are correctly identified.

30

This approach, which is essentially a voting method, is very robust in general situations and can smoothly cope with gaps. It is also relatively fast to run with inexpensive hardware. It has the advantage that the light
35 stripes are individually indistinguishable, with individual stripes being identified by counting the series of stripes.

There are situations in which the method would fail to label stripes properly, namely when the assumptions onto which it is based are not met. For instance if a stripe is completely or largely invisible or occluded by, for example, a thick book edge, the label assignment will be meaningless. Therefore, in an alternative embodiment not illustrated in the drawings, the light stripes are made individually distinguishable by spatial modulation.

Having said so, these are situations that should not occur in practice when the light stripe projector is properly arranged with respect to the camera, for example being mounted on the same side of the document as the camera and below the level of the camera.

The proposed approaches to identifying stripes are quick and simple compared with other approaches in which the stripes are temporally modulated or otherwise made individually distinguishable, for example by colour coding.

Three-dimensional points can then be obtained via triangulation, which as illustrated in Figure 13 consists of finding the intersection between the sheet of light 48 and an optic ray going 84 through a given point 86 on the projected stripe 88 and a corresponding point 90 on the detected image 92 in the detector plane 94.

Referring to Figure 13, let $^oP = (X, Y, Z)$ be a three-dimensional point in the camera reference system O , $^op = (x, y)$ a stripe point in the image plane, $^L\Sigma$ the conical surface representing the conical light sheet 48 in the light stripe projector reference system L , and R_{OL} the transformation between the two reference systems expressed by the four-by-four matrix:

$$R_{OL} = \begin{bmatrix} n_x & o_x & a_x & p_x \\ n_y & o_y & a_y & p_y \\ n_z & o_z & a_z & p_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The triangulation problem is to finding the intersection between a generic elliptic cone and a line in space. First we transform the cone into the reference system of the camera via R_{OL} by expressing a cone point in terms of a point in the O reference system transformed into L :

$${}^O\Sigma : \left\{ \left\{ {}^L\Sigma : {}^L y {}^L z - {}^L z^2 \frac{b_N}{c_N} - a_N c_N {}^L x^2 = 0 \right\} \leftarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^L x = n_x {}^L x + o_x {}^L y + a_x {}^L z + p_x \\ {}^L y = n_y {}^L x + o_y {}^L y + a_y {}^L z + p_y \\ {}^L z = n_z {}^L x + o_z {}^L y + a_z {}^L z + p_z \end{array} \right\} \right\}$$

The parametric form of the optic ray is:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^O x &= tx_i \\ {}^O y &= ty_i \\ {}^O z &= tf \end{aligned}$$

where f is the focal length and the x and y are expressed in image coordinates. Then we write down a system that expresses the intersection between this cone and the optic ray:

$$\begin{cases} {}^O\Sigma : f({}^O x, {}^O y, {}^O z) = 0 \\ {}^O x = tx_i \\ {}^O y = ty_i \\ {}^O z = tf \end{cases}$$

By simple substitution, we arrive at a second order equation in the parameter of the optic ray t :

$$At^2 + Bt + C = 0 \rightarrow [t_1, t_2]$$

whose solutions t_1 and t_2 represent the two intersections of the ray with the cone. This equation can be solved analytically and the rather knotty solution has been found but is omitted here for clarity.

We are interested in only one of the above-mentioned intersections which turns out to be, because of the way we constructed the cone, the one corresponding to the smallest parameter u spanning on the half cone closer to
 5 the Z axis of the reference system L .

Hence, we transform both solutions back to the light stripe projector reference system L :

$${}^L P_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} {}^L X_\lambda / w \\ {}^L Y_\lambda / w \\ {}^L Z_\lambda / w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = R_{OL}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} t_\lambda x_i \\ t_\lambda y_i \\ t_\lambda f \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

10

and use the 2nd of Equations 2 to recover the two corresponding u 's, that is:

$$\bar{t} = \left\{ t_\lambda \text{ such that } \lambda = \arg \min_\lambda \left(u_\lambda = \frac{{}^L X_\lambda c_N}{{}^L Z_\lambda} \right), \lambda = 1, 2 \right\}$$

15

Finally, the three-dimensional intersection point is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \bar{t} x_i \\ Y &= \bar{t} y_i \\ Z &= \bar{t} f \end{aligned}$$

20 We have now found the coordinates of the point in space that belongs to the intersection of the light sheet with the object and whose projection is a particular stripe pixel in the image.

25 This process has to be repeated for each pixel (and optionally at sub-pixel resolution) of each of the fifteen stripes. The triangulation process is very fast but if necessary it would be possible to sub-sample along each line. The result is a "cloud" of three-dimensional points
 30 such as that shown in Figure 14.

Now we have a cloud of three-dimensional data points 95 representing the paper surface. The problem is how to use
5 these points 95 to undo or "de-warp" the curl distortion.

It is in general difficult to de-warp an image of a curled document. The main problem is that paper is not a generic surface, but a "developable" surface, that is one that can
10 be unfolded without tearing or stretching onto a plane. The Gaussian curvature K of a developable surface $S(u,v)$ is zero everywhere, i.e. $K(u,v) = 0$.

The conventional surface reconstruction approach of
15 fitting and regularizing a surface, possibly with some discontinuities, does not apply to our problem since we not only need to reconstruct, but we also have to unfold this surface onto a plane. This is not possible if the reconstructed surface is not developable in the first
20 place. Hence, it is necessary to constrain the fitted surface to be developable, that is, with zero Gaussian curvature everywhere, which is a not trivial operation.

Figures 15 and 16 illustrate why a simple approach will in
25 general not work. The three-dimensional data of Figure 14 has been smoothed and a bi-cubic spline surface 96 has been fitted. In the ideal case where data is noiseless and the light stripe projector and camera system is perfectly calibrated, a fitted surface should also be developable,
30 but in reality the surface we obtain is clearly not so. For example, see the little bumps in some places 98.

If we now uncurl the page, we have to texture-map patches from the original image onto patches of a plane, a mapping
35 computed by integration of finite differences in the meshed surface 96 as shown in Figure 16.

However, by definition, a non-developable surface can only be unrolled onto a plane by either tearing or stretching which causes unnatural distortions in the unrolled document 100. This is due to the integrative nature of unrolling a surface where locally small errors tend to build up and lead to unsightly distortions. Figure 16 shows the distortion in the texture, which is caused by the irregularities in the farther side of the reconstructed planar mesh in Figure 15.

So the problem of unrolling the page can be restated as a problem of fitting a developable surface onto noisy data.

A second problem is that the light stripes do not cover the entire page or there might be gaps right near the edges of the page/book. In this case we do not have three-dimensional data so we would not know how to unroll these regions.

Briefly, the method used with the present invention uses a finite element model represented as a triangular mesh that simultaneously fits to the data and constrains the data to be isometric with a plane (i.e. developable) by a relaxation process.

First consider the problem in two-dimensions as illustrated in Figure 17. Here there is a set of data points 102, referred to herein as a "first set of points", representing noisy measurements of a curve along its length. Another set of points 104, referred to herein as a "second set of points", can then be fit with a least squares fit to the first set 102. A connected piecewise linear curve 106 can be constructed going through the second set of points 104. The second set of points 104 can always be "undone" to a line 108, as the linear curve is isometric to a line. This property explains why many

one-dimensional model of the curl and produce good results when the document curl is essentially cylindrical. However, in a general three-dimensional case it is not possible simply to unfold a two-dimensional set of points
5 representing a curled document owing to noise or other inaccuracies in the points.

There is a old technique used in cartography called orthoimage projection. The method essentially does not
10 correct for page curl but simply projects the texture orthographically onto a plane. This method, albeit simple and not prone to local distortions, is fundamentally flawed, because it does not unfold the document but rather just "pushes it down".

15 Sheet-like documents and paper cannot deform arbitrarily. Instead, these deform in such a way that arc-length between arbitrary points on the surface are preserved. This amounts to saying that the surface deforms
20 isometrically and can be mathematically represented by a developable surface. The invention makes use of such surfaces as a generic model for document deformation in which sparse but distributed 3D data has fitted to it a developable surface, which is then appropriately unrolled,
25 i.e. texture mapped, to produce a rectified image of the document. By employing a physically and self-consistent model of paper, the elimination of distortion in the curl correction is also ensured.

30 A surface is called a developable surface when its Gaussian curvature vanishes at every point. Developable surfaces can be flattened onto a plane without stretching or tearing. Similarly, a developable surface is one that is obtained by bending a plane, where with bending we mean
35 a transformation that preserves arc length.

Note that not all ruled surfaces are developable. Developable surfaces are a special subclass of ruled surfaces, that is surfaces that are generated by a straight line moving in space.

The analytic form of a developable surface is a parametric equation of a ruled surface with the constraint of the tangent plane being the same along each ruling. This definition is *per se* impractical and is mainly suitable for interactive modelling or display.

A Finite Element Model (FEM) can be used to represent a developable surface, for example a mesh such as that shown in Figure 18 having triangular tiles. Such a mesh can be deformed to approximate a developable surface. When the mesh is deformed, the tiles remain unchanged.

A developable surface can be modelled with a triangular mesh by assuming that the lengths of mesh edges between mesh nodes keeps constant as the mesh is deformed. Of course, making the mesh finer can make any approximation error arbitrarily small. It has to be noted, however, that it is in general not possible to split triangles and refine the mesh locally to reduce the error in, say, regions of high curvature once the mesh has started deforming.

Creases too can be modelled with such a deformable wire-frame mesh. In fact, by increasing the resolution of the mesh it is possible to model more and more accurately any developable surface, and thus any arbitrarily deformed piece of paper.

35

The document curl characterisation process described above will in general produce noisy, sparse data as shown in

Figure 14. The extent of the surface may not be known. Figure 19 shows one way to estimate the extent of the surface. A convex hull or rectangle 118 (or equivalently a square) enclosing all the "first set of points" 95 is projected onto the support plane 12. A rectangle 124 can then be deduced from extreme points of the projected lines 126,128. In Figure 19, a B-spline fits the data points 95 and estimates its extent by integration along some chosen curves 120,122.

10

Alternatively, the document scanning system may permit a user to select the size of the document. Alternatively, the extent could be determined straight away from the image only using the known system geometry, that is, stand position with respect to camera 2 and camera calibration parameters.

15

This latter approach would also help overcome problems of mismatch that could occur is part of the three-dimensional data 95 does not belong to the same developable surface. This might be the case if the data is of a thick book or a small curled document and the structured light pattern is bigger than the document region. In this case there needs to be a way to tell what belongs to the document and what is does not. This could be done by analyzing a generic surface fitted to the data with a search for steep curvature or depth changes. Points outside such sudden changes could then be discarded. Another way would be to allow for the mesh to break during the "relaxation" process described below wherever the process does not converge.

20

25

30

Once the extent of the surface 118 and the corresponding planar projection 124 are known, the mesh 110 is fit to the noisy set of points 95. The process can be understood with reference to Figures 20A, 20B and 20C, which show for

35

clarity an "initialization" process in a two-dimensional analogy.

First an "initial" surface, here a plane 130, is fit with
 5 a least squares deviation through the noisy
 three-dimensional set of points 95. Then the planar mesh
 110 is rotated and translated so as to coincide with this
 plane 130 and the estimated extent 124 of the surface 118.
 Then each mesh node 117 is vertically translated 132 at
 10 right angles to the least squares fit plane 130 towards
 the closest point 95. If there is no point 95 within a
 certain radius, here taken to be one-third the distance to
 the nearest neighbouring node 117, then the node is left
 in place, as is the case in Figure 20C for one node 134.
 15 The result is a distorted mesh 133 represented by a
 "second set of points" 117, which in this example consists
 of the mesh nodes.

At this stage, the mesh 133 is no longer developable, that
 20 is the isometry with the plane 130 we started with is
 lost. However, albeit coarsely, the mesh does now
 approximate the surface 118. The next stage is to adjust
 the mesh 133 so that it is again developable, and this is
 done in a "relaxation" process.

25

Let us first define the terminology to be used. Let
 $\mathbf{x}_i = [x_i \ y_i \ z_i]^T$ be a mesh node defined as a vector of
 coordinates in a Cartesian system, and let $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ be
 the set of nodes of the mesh. Also let e_{ij} be an edge of
 30 the mesh joining two nodes \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j and let $E = \{e_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, e_{i_M j_M}\}$
 be the set of all the edges of the mesh. The mesh can then
 be represented by $M = \{X, E\}$. Let us also define a
 neighbourhood of a node \mathbf{x}_i as the set of nodes
 $N_i = \{\mathbf{x}_j : e_{ij} \in E\}$.

We shall indicate with $d_{ij} = \sqrt{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^T (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)}$ the Euclidean distance between two nodes and with \hat{d}_{ij} the reference distance that the mesh had in its original flat state.

5

In order to transform the mesh to a developable state while still approximating the data, an optimization method is used to minimize the deviation of the mesh from the ideal developable state. Figure 21 illustrates by way of a mechanical analogy a mesh 140 of springs 142. In a relaxed state, the mesh 140 has relaxed springs 142 of extension \hat{d}_{ij} connected to each other at nodes 144. This reticular structure 140 will therefore be at a minimum energy in a stable state when all the springs have extension \hat{d}_{ij} and when this happens the mesh 140 is isometric with the plane.

Hence, the problem is equivalent to that of minimizing the total elastic energy of the system:

20

$$U = \frac{1}{2} K \sum_{i=1..N} \sum_{j \in N_i} [\hat{d}_{ij} - d_{ij}]^2$$

This is done using the well-known gradient descent method that iteratively adjusts the position of the nodes until the final, lowest energy is reached. Note that the elastic constant K can be ignored during the minimization process.

25

Node co-ordinates are updated according to the following rule:

30

$$x_i^{t+1} = x_i^t + w_x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} \quad y_i^{t+1} = y_i^t + w_y \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_i}$$

where w is a factor that will be discussed later.

Convergence is reached when all the displacements fall below a set treshold.

The derivatives are straightforward to compute and are:

5

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=N_i} (\hat{d}_{ij} - d_{ij}) \frac{(x_i - x_j)}{d_{ij}} \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_i} = \sum_{j=N_i} (\hat{d}_{ij} - d_{ij}) \frac{(y_i - y_j)}{d_{ij}}$$

Note that these derivatives could be also rewritten as the resultant of the forces exerted to each one of the nodes
10 144 by all the springs 142 connected each particular node.

Regarding the convergence properties of the iterative optimization procedure, it can be shown that convergence is achieved when $w_{xi} = \frac{w}{\partial^2 U / \partial x_i}$ (similarly for y_i) and $0 < w < 2$.

15

A fitting experiment has confirmed this.

The relaxation process described above behaves well and has been shown to approximate the surface very precisely.
20 This is somewhat surprising, because the set of points 95 is not used during the mesh relaxation. The basis for this surprising result lies in the highly constrained nature of a developable surface or its discrete approximation such as the mesh 110 with the constraint that for each node i ,
25 $d_{ij} = \text{const}$. When the mesh is initialized onto the data, the nodes do not satisfy this constraint. However, the relaxation procedure causes nodes to be displaced orthogonally to satisfy the constraints. The form of the surface does not change dramatically, which would be the
30 case if the displacements were tangent. This key observation is what makes the relaxing mesh approximate the surface without data.

Once the mesh is fitted properly to the three-dimensional data, the next phase is to texture-map the initial planar mesh. As we mentioned before, with this technique there is no need to unroll the surface just fitted, because we
5 already have it to start with.

Texture-mapping to de-warp the curled document consists of three phases, which are illustrated in Figure 22. First, all tiles 111 in the planar mesh 110 are initialized and
10 relaxed 150 to the characterised document surface 152 such as to keep isometry. Using the known imaging geometry, the tile 111, which now lies on the three-dimensional surface 152, is back-projected 154 to the image plane 156 so as to obtain the texture 158 from the image that correspond to
15 it. The final phase is to warp 160 the tile texture back 158 to its corresponding planar tile 111 so as to restore the texture as if it had been captured originally in a flat state.

20 Once the tile mapping has been computed as above, the warp stage 160 is a standard process and a number of excellent algorithms are described by George Wolberg in an book titled *Digital Image Warping*, published by IEEE Computer Society Press, 1991.

25

The document curl characterisation approach described above works well regardless of the paper type and document complexity. The invention provides a practical and cheap means of characterising and de-warping page curl. In
30 particular, the profile of the imaged document is determined by projecting a known two-dimensional structured light pattern and triangulating with the image of the pattern taken by the camera. The use of a two-dimensional pattern, as opposed to a single stripe or
35 point, is particularly desirable in this applications because it does not require expensive moving parts (and their drivers) and allows quick characterisation of the

page-curl in a single shot, not by sweeping a single beam over the page.

5 In this example, the stripes are identified only from images of the plain stripes, without moving either the detector array or the lights stripe projector or imposing any kind of temporal or spatial modulation, which would increase heavily on the system cost.

10 The method described above for characterising document curl is practical and fast and can be implemented with relatively inexpensive hardware for a document imaging application using a platenless document imaging system. The invention may, however, be used with other types of
15 imaging systems, such as those in platen-based devices such as photocopiers and flatbed scanners.

The document curl correction method presented above uses a mathematical model of paper, and an effective
20 initialization and relaxation process for fitting this model to the data in a way that naturally produces an undistorted image. This is accomplished despite the fact that there are a large number of degrees of freedom and a large number of constraints that need to be satisfied with
25 sparse and noisy data. This method has the ability to interpolate, extrapolate and self-complete wherever data is missing. The method produces high quality de-warped images of curled documents by modelling paper deformation in a physically realistic way.

Claims

1. An imaging system (1) for imaging a document, comprising a support surface (12) for a document (30) to be imaged, a light stripe projector (4) arranged to project a plurality of diverging sheets of light (48) that extend from the projector (4) towards the support surface (12) for forming a series of stripes (35) across the document (30), a camera (2) having a detector array (22) for capturing an image (31,33) of the document (30) and of light stripes (35) projected onto the document (30), a processor (25) arranged to receive (23) from the detector array (22) data representative of images (31,33) of the document (30) and of the light stripes (35) and to calculate therefrom a three-dimensional profile of the document (30) relative to a reference surface, characterised in that the relative divergence of adjacent sheets of light (48) varies laterally across the sheets (48) so that the stripes (35) are concentrated where the divergence is relatively low (56).

2. An imaging system (1) as claimed in Claim 1, in which at least one of the sheets of light (51,53) is non-planar with a variable divergence from an adjacent sheet.

3. An imaging system (1) as claimed in Claim 2, in which there is a planar sheet of light (52) with diverging sheets (51,53) either side of the planar sheet (52).

4. An imaging system (1) as claimed in Claim 3, in which the diverging sheets (51,53) bow towards the planar sheet (52).

5. An imaging system (1) as claimed in Claim 4, in which the sheets of light (48) are symmetric about a plane that is transverse to the planar sheet (52) and which comprises a median ray (49) of the planar sheet (52).

6. An imaging system (1) as claimed in any preceding claim, in which the camera (2) and light stripe projector (4) are mounted together on a support (6) that rises above an edge (10) of the support surface (12).

7. An imaging system (1) as claimed in Claim 6, in which the light stripe projector (4) is below the camera (2).

8. An imaging system as claimed in any preceding claim, in which the light stripes are individually indistinguishable, and the imaging system includes means for identifying individual stripes by labelling the series of stripes.

15

9. An imaging system as claimed in any of Claims 1 to 7, in which the light stripes are made individually distinguishable by spatial modulation.

10. A method of imaging a document (30) using a document imaging system (1) comprising a support surface (12), a light stripe projector (4), a camera (2) having a detector array (22), a processor (25), in which the method comprises the steps of:

25

i) placing the document (30) on the support surface (12);

ii) using the light stripe projector (4) to project a plurality of diverging sheets of light (48) that extend from the projector (4) towards the document (30);




30

iii) arranging the light stripe projector (4) so that the sheets of light (48) fall on the document (30) to produce a series of light stripes (35) on the document (30);

35

- 33 -

- iv) using the camera (2) to capture with the detector array (22) an image (31,33) of the document (30) and of light stripes (35) projected onto the document (30);
- 5 v) sending (23) from the detector array (22) to the processor (25) data representative of the captured image (31,33) of the document (30) and of the light stripes (35); and
- 10 vi) using the processor (25) to calculate therefrom a three-dimensional profile of the document (30) relative to a reference surface;
- characterised in that the light stripe projector (4)
- 15 projects adjacent sheets of light (48) with a relative divergence that varies laterally across the sheets so that the stripes (35) are concentrated on the document (30) where the divergence is relatively low (56).







Fig. 5

3/10

Fig.6




Fig.7




Fig.8

4/10




Fig.9A




Fig.9B




Fig.10A




Fig.10B

5/10




Fig.11A




Fig.11B




Fig.12

6/10




Fig.13




Fig.14




Fig.15




Fig.16

8/10









Fig.18

9/10






Fig.21




Fig.22

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 00/02621

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 G01B11/24 H04N1/047

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04N G01B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 764 383 A (BERLIN ANDREW A ET AL) 9 June 1998 (1998-06-09) abstract; figures 1-8 column 5, line 5 - line 61	1,10
Y	US 5 193 120 A (GAMACHE RONALD W ET AL) 9 March 1993 (1993-03-09) abstract; figures 1-3 column 2, line 47 - line 64	1,10
A	US 5 084 611 A (OKISU NORIYUKI ET AL) 28 January 1992 (1992-01-28) abstract; figures 6,10 column 2, line 34 -column 4, line 19 -/--	1,10

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 August 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

21/08/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Kassow, H

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/GB 00/02621

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 585 926 A (FUJII SHINICHI ET AL) 17 December 1996 (1996-12-17) abstract; figures 4-9 column 5, line 18 -column 6, line 13 column 6, line 24 -column 7, line 15 ----	1,10
A	DE 195 28 244 A (KIRMEIER JOSEF) 6 February 1997 (1997-02-06) abstract; claims 2,3; figures 1-3,6 column 2, line 24 - line 38 ----	6,7
A	WO 91 15732 A (INTELLIGENT AUTOMATION SYSTEMS) 17 October 1991 (1991-10-17) abstract; figure 2 page 2, line 2 -page 5, line 7 page 9 ----	1
A	US 4 948 258 A (CAIMI FRANK M) 14 August 1990 (1990-08-14) abstract; figure 1 ----	6,7
A	US 4 641 972 A (HALIOUA MAURICE ET AL) 10 February 1987 (1987-02-10) abstract column 1, line 57 - line 62 ----	1
A	US 5 760 925 A (BERLIN ANDREW A ET AL) 2 June 1998 (1998-06-02) cited in the application -----	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 00/02621

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5764383 A	09-06-1998	NONE	
US 5193120 A	09-03-1993	NONE	
US 5084611 A	28-01-1992	JP 3117965 A	20-05-1991
US 5585926 A	17-12-1996	JP 5161000 A	25-06-1993
		JP 5161001 A	25-06-1993
		JP 5161002 A	25-06-1993
		JP 5161003 A	25-06-1993
		JP 5161004 A	25-06-1993
DE 19528244 A	06-02-1997	WO 9705735 A	13-02-1997
		EP 0783814 A	16-07-1997
WO 9115732 A	17-10-1991	US 5589942 A	31-12-1996
		CA 2079817 A	06-10-1991
		DE 69127968 D	20-11-1997
		DE 69127968 T	12-02-1998
		EP 0523152 A	20-01-1993
		JP 2927955 B	28-07-1999
		JP 5509394 T	22-12-1993
US 4948258 A	14-08-1990	NONE	
US 4641972 A	10-02-1987	CA 1261656 A	26-09-1989
		DE 3580740 D	10-01-1991
		EP 0182469 A	28-05-1986
		JP 1675753 C	26-06-1992
		JP 3038524 B	11-06-1991
		JP 61076906 A	19-04-1986
		US 4657394 A	14-04-1987
US 5760925 A	02-06-1998	NONE	